# MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

### **GENERAL INFORMATION**

**Requestor Name** 

Respondent Name

Donald Gene Eaves, D. C.

American Zurich Insurance Company

**MFDR Tracking Number** 

**Carrier's Austin Representative** 

M4-17-1982-01

Box Number 19

**MFDR Date Received** 

February 27, 2017

### **REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY**

Requestor's Position Summary: "I performed a DDE on the above mentioned patient on 10.20.2016 and submitted the report along with the appropriate billing to the adjuster noted on the DWC form 32 for this evaluation. The initial submission by fax was made on 10.29.2016. When that submission was not considered, reconsideration was submitted on 01.16.2017. To date, compensation has not been received or addressed by the carrier."

Amount in Dispute: \$500.00

#### RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

**Respondent's Position Summary:** Submitted documentation does not include a position statement from the respondent. Accordingly, this decision is based on the information available at the time of review.

#### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
October 20, 2016	Designated Doctor Examination	\$500.00	\$500.00

## FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

### **Background**

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.240 sets out the procedures for payment or denial of medical billing.
- 3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.250 sets out the fee guidelines for examinations to determine maximum medical improvement and impairment rating provided on or after September 1, 2016.
- 4. The submitted documentation does not include explanations of benefits.

### <u>Issues</u>

- 1. Did American Zurich Insurance Company (Zurich) respond to the medical fee dispute?
- 2. Did Zurich pay, reduce, or deny the services in question as required by 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.240?
- 3. Is Donald Gene Eaves, D.C. entitled to reimbursement for the services in question?

### **Findings**

- 1. The Austin carrier representative for Zurich is Flahive, Ogden & Latson. Flahive, Ogden & Latson acknowledged receipt of the copy of this medical fee dispute on March 7, 2017.
  - 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 states, in relevant part:
    - (d) Responses. Responses to a request for MFDR shall be legible and submitted to the division and to the requestor in the form and manner prescribed by the division.
      - (1) Timeliness. The response will be deemed timely if received by the division via mail service, personal delivery, or facsimile within 14 calendar days after the date the respondent received the copy of the requestor's dispute [emphasis added]. If the division does not receive the response information within 14 calendar days of the dispute notification, then the division may base its decision on the available information.

Review of the documentation finds that no response has been received on behalf of Zurich from Flahive, Ogden & Latson to date. The division concludes that Zurich failed to respond within the timeframe required by §133.307(d)(1). For that reason the division will base its decision on the information available.

- 2. Dr. Eaves is seeking reimbursement of \$500.00 for a designated doctor examination to determine maximum medical improvement and impairment rating performed on October 20, 2016. Dr. Eaves argued that the medical bill was submitted on October 29, 2016 and January 16, 2017.
  - 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.240(a) requires to the insurance carrier to

take final action after conducting bill review on a complete medical bill, or determine to audit the medical bill in accordance with §133.230 of this chapter (relating to Insurance Carrier Audit of a Medical Bill), not later than the 45th day after the date the insurance carrier received a complete medical bill. An insurance carrier's deadline to make or deny payment on a bill is not extended as a result of a pending request for additional documentation.

The submitted documentation does not support that Zurich took final action to pay, reduce, or deny the services in question. Therefore, they will be reviewed in accordance with applicable fee guidelines.

3. Per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.250(3), "The following applies for billing and reimbursement of an MMI evaluation... (C) An examining doctor, other than the treating doctor, shall bill using CPT Code 99456. Reimbursement shall be \$350." The submitted documentation supports that Dr. Eaves performed an evaluation of maximum medical improvement. Therefore, the reimbursement for this examination is \$350.00.

Per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.250(4), "The following applies for billing and reimbursement of an IR evaluation... (C)(ii) The MAR for musculoskeletal body areas shall be as follows. (I) \$150 for each body area if the Diagnosis Related Estimates (DRE) method found in the AMA Guides 4th edition is used." The submitted documentation supports that Dr. Eaves performed an evaluation to determine the impairment rating of the lumbar spine using the DRE method found in the AMA Guides 4th edition. Therefore, the reimbursement for this examination is \$150.00.

The total reimbursement for the services in question is \$500.00. This amount is recommended.

## **Conclusion**

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$500.00.

### **ORDER**

Based on the submitted information, pursuant to Texas Labor Code Sec. 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the services in dispute. The Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor the amount of \$500.00, plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this Order.

## **Authorized Signature**

	Laurie Garnes	April 21, 2017
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

### YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, 37 *Texas Register* 3833, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the Division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the Division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee* **Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.